

Dear [REDACTED]

Freedom of Information – Notice of Decision

I refer to your application under section 30 of the Freedom of Information Act 2016 (the FOI Act), received by the City and Environment Directorate (CED) on 25 May 2026. It is my understanding you are seeking access to the following information:

“Work orders relating to any Barbeque in Franklin Community Recreation Park”.

Timeframes

In accordance with section 40 of the FOI Act, CED is required to provide a decision on your access application within 30 working days. Therefore, a decision is due on or by **8 July 2026**.

Authority

I am an Information Officer appointed by the Director-General of the City and Environment Directorate under section 18 of the FOI Act to deal with access applications made under Part 5 of the FOI Act.

Decision on access

A search for records held by CED has been completed and 13 records within the scope of your application have been identified. I note, however, that the City Presentation team has advised that they do not use the term "work orders". Under the current process, the contractor provides a weekly list of out-of-order barbeques. This list is then forwarded to our electrician for repair, and the electrician invoices for the services provided.

I have decided to grant **partial** access to the 13 records.

The reasons for my decision are detailed in the *Statement of reasons* section below. The records are listed in the schedule at **Attachment A**. The records being released to you are provided at **Attachment B**.

Statement of reasons

The FOI Act has a pro-disclosure bias, which requires information to be disclosed unless doing so would be contrary to the public interest. As an Information Officer, I am responsible for determining whether disclosure of the information within the scope of your application would be contrary to the public interest.

My assessment begins with Schedule 1 of the FOI Act, which outlines categories of information that are taken to be contrary to the public interest to disclose. If the information does not fall within any of these categories, I must then apply the public interest test under section 17 of the FOI Act. This test involves weighing the factors favouring disclosure against those favouring nondisclosure, as set out in Schedule 2.

In reaching my access decisions, I have taken the following into account:

- the FOI Act
- the information that falls within the scope of your application
- the *Human Rights Act 2004*
- the ACT Ombudsman FOI Guidelines.

I confirm that I have not considered any of the factors listed in section 17(2) of the FOI Act, which outlines a list of considerations that must not be taken into account when applying the public interest test.

Schedule 1 – Information taken to be contrary to the public interest

- *No relevant categories identified.*

Schedule 2 – Public interest test

Factors favouring disclosure (Schedule 2.1)

- *Section 2.1(a)(iii) - inform the community of the government's operations, including policies, guidelines and codes of conduct followed by the government in its dealings with members of the community.*

I consider that disclosure informs the community of government operations in relation to the barbeque in Franklin Community Recreation Park. I have placed moderate weight on this factor.

Factors favouring nondisclosure (Schedule 2.2)

- *Section 2.2(a)(ii) - prejudice the protection of an individual's right to privacy or any other right under the Human Rights Act 2004.*

Some of the records relevant to your application contain the personal information of members of the public and staff employed by a contracted service provider, including names, addresses and contact details. I have considered that this information is not readily available to the public and has not otherwise been disclosed by CED. I further consider that this information has come to be held by CED with the expectation that it will be handled in accordance with the *Information Privacy Act 2014*.

I have extended this consideration to the mobile numbers and working hours of ACT Government employees. The mobile numbers of employees are either personal phone numbers or phones provided by CED to staff for a specific purpose, such as out of hours contact. I consider that the disclosure of these mobile numbers is likely to prejudice the personal privacy of employees and their right to disconnect. Similarly, disclosing the details of an employee's working hours is also likely to prejudice the employee's personal privacy. Disclosing this information would not advance the public interest. I have placed substantial weight on this factor.

Balancing the factors

I find that the public interest in disclosure of information about government operations captured by your application is not advanced by the disclosure of the personal information of individuals. Conversely, I find that the likely prejudice to a person's right to privacy under the *Human Rights Act 2004* carries substantial weight and I find disclosure to be, on balance, contrary to the public interest. Accordingly, I have redacted this information under section 50(2) of the FOI Act

Consistent with the pro-disclosure intent of the FOI Act, I am satisfied that redacting only the information that is contrary to the public interest ensures compliance with the FOI Act, while still providing access to the majority of the information held by CED within the scope of your application.

Charges

Processing charges are not applicable for this application because the number of pages released to you is below the charging threshold of 50 pages.

Online publishing – Disclosure Log

Under section 28 of the FOI Act, CED maintains a disclosure log, which is a public record of access applications and decisions. Your original access application and my decision will be published on the CED disclosure log. Your personal contact details will not be published.

ACT Ombudsman Review

My decision on your access application is a reviewable decision as identified in Schedule 3 of the FOI Act. You have the right to seek ACT Ombudsman review of this outcome under section 73 of the FOI Act within 20 working days from the day that my decision is provided to you, or a longer period allowed by the ACT Ombudsman. If you wish to request a review of my decision, you may write to the ACT Ombudsman at:

The ACT Ombudsman
GPO Box 442
CANBERRA ACT 2601
Via email: actfoi@ombudsman.gov.au

ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal (ACAT) Review

Under section 84 of the FOI Act, if a decision is made under section 82(1) on an Ombudsman review, you may apply to the ACAT for review of the Ombudsman decision. Further information may be obtained from the ACAT at:

ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal
GPO Box 370
Canberra City ACT 2601
Telephone: (02) 6207 1740
<https://www.acat.act.gov.au/>

Please contact the CED Information Access team if you have any queries in relation to your application via 6207 2987 or CEDFOI@act.gov.au.

Yours sincerely

Signed electronically

Craig Weller
Information Officer
City and Environment Directorate
8 July 2026